Managementeday Volume 75 · No. 2 · 2017

RIM FIRE EFFECTS ON RESTORED AREAS BUDWORM EFFECTS AND WILDFIRE YOU WILL NOT STAND ALONE AND MORE ...





United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service

RIM FIRE SEVERITY IN FORESTS WITH RELATIVELY RESTORED FREQUENT FIRE REGIMES^{*}

Jamie M. Lydersen, Malcolm P. North, and Brandon M. Collins

orests that evolved under the influence of frequent lowseverity fire have undergone dramatic change following a century of fire suppression, including a buildup of surface fuels: greater density of small, shade-tolerant trees; and a loss of spatial heterogeneity (Lydersen and others 2013; Parsons and Debenedetti 1979; Scholl and Taylor 2010). Following these changes, a greater proportion of the fires in low- and mid-elevation forests are burning with high severity than they did historically, and high-severity fires are burning larger patch sizes in these forests than before (Mallek and others 2013). These uncharacteristically large and severe wildfires have significant impacts on sensitive wildlife habitat (North and others 2010), air quality (Fowler 2003), and greenhouse gas concentrations (Liu and others 2014; Muhle and others 2007). In addition, the costs of fire suppression and postfire rehabilitation associated with these fires continue to increase (NIFC 2013).

Jamie Lydersen is a biological science technician, Brandon Collins is a research forester, and Malcolm North is a research scientist for the Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Davis, CA. Contemporary forests with restored fire regimes should burn with a lower proportion of highseverity fire under most wildfire conditions.

Research in Relatively Restored Forests

Restoration of forests with altered structure due to a history of fire suppression is of high interest to managers and stakeholders of Sierra Nevada forests (North 2012). Since the late 1960s, following the recognition of fire as an important ecosystem process. Yosemite National Park has made use of prescribed and wildland fires burning under moderate weather conditions to meet management objectives (Stephens and Ruth 2005; van Wagtendonk 2007). This has resulted in a number of forest stands in the park with repeated burning at frequencies and intensities similar to the historical fire regime (Collins and Stephens 2007: Lydersen and North 2012). There is considerable interest in characterizing ecosystem structure and function within these stands because frequentfire reference conditions under recent patterns of climate are rare (Stephens and Fule 2005).

Under a frequent low-severity fire regime, forests are characterized spatially by diverse sizes of tree clumps interspersed with forest gaps and widely spaced single trees (Larson and Churchill 2012; Show and Kotok 1924). This heterogeneity was likely the product of an intact fire regime that allowed fires to burn under a range of weather and fuel conditions (Skinner and Taylor 2006). In addition to creating and maintaining spatial heterogeneity, repeated fire in these forests maintains a lower fuel load and tree density (Webster and Halpern 2010). Collectively, these forest conditions have been associated with increased resilience in relation to environmental stressors (such as drought, insects, and disease) and wildfire (Stephens and others 2008). Contemporary forests with restored fire regimes should burn with a lower proportion of highseverity fire under most wildfire conditions, as compared to areas

^{*} This article is a condensed and slightly edited version of a previously published article in *Forest Ecology and Management* (Lydersen and others 2014). For more detail on study methods and relevant literature and for the full presentation of results, you can access the article in its entirety at http://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/46372>.

with ongoing fire suppression that have not burned in over a century. However, even areas that have recently burned in multiple low- and moderate-severity fires have a persistent legacy of tree densification due to fire exclusion before the reintroduction of fire in these stands (Collins and Stephens 2007; Collins and others 2011). The question remains as to whether these relatively restored forests are resilient in relation to wildfire burning under extreme weather conditions.

The 2013 Rim Fire is the largest fire on record in the Sierra Nevada and the third largest in California. It burned 257,313 acres (104,131 ha), mostly forest stands, including reburned stands in Yosemite National Park with a diverse recent fire history. The Rim Fire occurred under extreme drought and fire weather conditions, with notably unstable weather occurring soon after ignition, leading to 2 days of extreme fire growth characterized by a large smoke plume. Plumes often form when atmospheric conditions are unstable, resulting in erratic fire behavior that is driven by the fire's own local effects on surface wind and temperatures. The effects of such fires often exceed the influence of more generalized climate factors measured at nearby weather stations (Werth and others 2011). In this study, we took advantage of a unique opportunity to use extensive on-the-ground measurements collected prior to the Rim Fire in forests that previously experienced at least two low- to moderate-severity fires to explain observed fire effects in stands with relatively restored fire regimes. The objective of our study was to identify factors that

influenced Rim Fire burn severity in these forests. Note that this study does not compare fire effects between previously burned and unburned areas.

We assessed the influence of forest structure, fuel load, topography, fire history, and weather on satellite-derived fire severity, using field data from 53 plots collected 3-4 years prior to burning in the Rim Fire (fig. 1; table 1). Field data were collected in 2009 and 2010 as part of a study on topographic variation in forest structure in Sierra Nevada mixed-conifer forests with a frequent low-severity fire regime that was active or restored (Lydersen and North 2012). Fire severity for the Rim Fire was

calculated using the relative differenced normalized burn ratio (RdNBR) (Miller and Thode 2007) based on imagery collected following fire containment in 2013. Random forests and regression trees were used to assess relationships between Rim Fire severity and a variety of covariates, including topographic, forest structure, fuels, weather, and fire history variables. The analysis was performed twice, with and without plots that burned under plume conditions.

Variables Influencing Fire Behavior

Out of 53 plots, 12 (23 percent) were classified as burning at a high severity in the Rim Fire.



Figure 1—Location of study areas from Lydersen and North (2012) that burned in the Rim Fire in California's Sierra Nevada Mountains. Inset on right shows the area where the Rim Fire crossed the boundary into Yosemite National Park, corresponding to the area within the black-and-white dashed box on the map of California. Numbers represent study areas 1-7, shown in table 1. Fire severity shown is for the Rim Fire. Inset on the bottom left shows the plot locations at the North Mountain study area in relation to site topography, corresponding to the area within the black-and-white dashed box on the severity map inset. Dimensions of the plots after addition of a 32.8-foot (10-m) buffer are shown. RdNBR = relative differenced normalized burn ratio.

Study area (#)	# of plots	Recent fires ^a (yr)	Elevation (ft [m])	Size (ac [ha])	RdNBR Avg. ± St. Dev. ^b
N. Eleanor (1)	9	1986, 1999	5,590-6,550 (1,700-1,200)	1,500 (610)	68 ±70
S. Eleanor (2)	9	1978, 1996	4,880-5,840 (1,490-1,780)	2,500 (1,000)	500 ± 397
Laurel Lake (3)	9	1978, 1991, 2005	5,940–6,350 (1,810–1,940)	900 (360)	124 ± 108
North Mountain (4)	4	1950, 1987, 1996	4,990-5,080 (1,520-1,550)	4,900 (1,980)	718 ±148
North Mountain (4)	3	1987, 1996	5,020-5,220 (1,530-1,590)		851 ±163
North Mountain (4)	2	1993, 1996	5,120-5,200 (1,560-1,580)		$1,232 \pm 25$
North Mountain (4)	3	1994, 1996	5,260-5,320 (1,600-1,620)		520 ±85
Cottonwood Crk (5)	1	1996, 2009	5,860 (1,790)	100 (40)	202
Aspen Valley (6)	10	1983, 1998	5,080-5,920 (1,550-1,800)	3,000 (1,200)	454 ±173
Aspen Valley (6)	1	1983, 1990, 1998	5,360 (1,630)		483
Aspen Valley (6)	1	1983, 1990, 1999	5,540 (1,690)		1,017
Gin Flat (7)	1	1989, 2000, 2002	6,550 (2,000)	250 (100)	262

Table 1—Study areas (from Lydersen and North (2012)), by number of plots, previous fire history, elevation, size, and RdNBR (numbers correspond to figure 1). Note that some study areas had multiple fire histories.

^a Includes fires from 1949 to 2011.

^b RdNBR = relative differenced normalized burn ratio; St. Dev. = standard deviation.

Plots that had previously burned within 14 years of the Rim Fire burned mainly at low severity, whereas those that had not seen fire in over 14 years burned predominately at moderate to high severity.

Seventeen plots (32 percent) burned at moderate severity, and the remaining 24 plots were classified as unchanged or having burned at a low severity. Elevation, followed by plume effects, had the most influence on observed fire severities in our plots (fig. 2). Burning index, time since the last fire, and shrub cover were also highly associated with differences in fire severity. When plume-dominated fire plots were removed from the random forests analysis, many of the same variables remained highly ranked (fig. 2), indicating that their effect was not entirely due to correlation with plume-dominated burning. The variables identified as important in both analyses were shrub cover, burning index, elevation, years since last fire, proportion of shade-intolerant species, duff depth, and white fir basal area.

Plots that burned on plumedominated fire days had higher severity overall. Among plots



Figure 2—Variable importance ranking of the influential variables on observed fire severity, as determined by random forests analysis. Variables with importance values higher than the absolute value of the lowest negative importance value (dashed vertical line) are considered influential. The upper chart shows results when all plots were included in the analysis; the lower chart shows results after excluding plots burned on a day when the Rim Fire was plume dominated. Variables in bold text appear in both charts. BA = basal area; dbh = diameter at breast height.

that burned after the plume subsided, greater shrub abundance was associated with greater fire severity. Elevation was negatively correlated with Rim Fire severity, with lower severity observed in plots above 5,558 feet (1,694 m) in elevation. Plots that had previously burned within 14 years of the Rim Fire burned mainly at low severity, whereas those that had not seen fire in over 14 years burned predominately at moderate to high severity (fig. 3).

Fire Resistance in Relatively Restored Forests

Our study suggests that even fire-restored forests may not be resistant to high-intensity wildfire that escapes suppression during extreme weather conditions. All of our plots previously burned at low to moderate severity in the recent (1949–2011) fire record (table 1); high-severity burning during the Rim Fire left new high-severity burn patches in this landscape. Fire severity in reburns can depend strongly on the severity of previous fires (Parks and others 2013). Although areas that burned with high severity in previous fires are more likely to reburn with high severity, researchers have found a less consistent pattern for areas previously burned at low or moderate severity (Holden and others 2010; Parks and others 2013; Thompson and Spies 2010; van Wagtendonk and others 2012). Our study supports their finding. Char height from previous low- to moderate-severity fire was not associated with Rim Fire severity in our plots. Instead, we found that time since last fire, shrub cover, elevation, and the burning index were associated with Rim Fire severity (fig. 2), indicating that the interaction between fire history, understory, and fire weather influenced fire effects.

Most of the plots classified as high severity (10 out of 12) burned on a day when the fire was plume dominated and exhibited unprecedented fire growth for this region. The high burning index value of 85 recorded on this day reflects the greater potential for more intense fire behavior. but the contribution of high fuel loads outside our study site to fire energy presumably also contributed to the transition to plume-dominated fire. Local factors related to the plume's influence on surface wind dynamics, including increased speed and turbulence (Rothermel 1991: Werth and others 2011). likely affected fire intensity in our plots and may not be reflected in the burning index value derived from a weather station 12 miles (19 km) away. Interestingly, many plots burned at high severity despite multiple previous burns, suggesting the influence of the

plume on fire behavior and, ultimately, fire severity. This suggests in turn that extreme fire behavior can overwhelm well-designed fuel treatments, as demonstrated in other extreme fire events (Finney and others 2003). Perhaps the extreme burning conditions created when untreated areas burn under weather conditions favorable to plume formation can create enough inertia to maintain high fire intensity in previously burned areas despite the ameliorated fuel conditions.

Time since fire and the burning index were also highly related to Rim Fire severity (fig. 2), in line with results from other studies on reburns (Collins and others

2009; Parks and others 2013; van Wagtendonk and others 2012). In our study, plots that had a previous fire within 14 years of the Rim Fire burned predominately at low severity (fig. 3), regardless of weather conditions. The reason might be that a longer time since the previous fire allows for the accumulation of surface (dead woody and live shrub/herbaceous) and ladder fuels, which then contribute to greater flame lengths and, ultimately, higher severity fire effects. For plots where the previous fire was more than 14 vears earlier, burning under extreme fire weather conditions (with a burning index greater than 75 and on the day of plumedominated burning) produced mainly high-severity fire effects.



Figure 3—*Fire severity classes observed in plots reburned by the Rim Fire, by time since the previous fire. A comparison of A (showing all plots) to B (excluding plots burned on a day when the Rim Fire was plume dominated) suggests that plots without a fire in the previous 14 years are more susceptible to high fire severity during a plume-dominated fire.*

whereas moderate-severity burning occurred under milder conditions. This suggests that even in areas without recent fire activity, fires allowed to burn under conditions that are not extreme can benefit the ecosystem, assuming that moderate-severity fire effects are a desired objective (Collins and others 2011).

The inverse relationship of elevation and fire severity observed in our study was the opposite of what has been reported for other western forests (Parks and others 2013), but this may be due to the different vegetation, which also varied with elevation. Some of the lower elevation plots in our study corresponded to a drier vegetation type with greater shrub cover and sparser forest cover. The greater shrub cover coupled with sparser canopy may lead to an overestimation of fire severity, because consumption of the shrub layer might be high yet overstory mortality low, particularly in plots categorized as having moderate fire severity (Miller and others 2009). Without field data or some measure of overstory mortality and shrub regeneration, it is hard to determine to what extent high RdNBR values reflect ecological change, such as shifts in species composition or vegetation type (Holden and others 2010).

Implications for Management

Our results suggest that even in forests with a restored fire regime, wildfires can produce large-scale, high-severity fire effects under the type of weather and fuel conditions that often prevail when wildfire escapes initial suppression efforts. During the period when the Rim Fire had heightened plume activity, Results suggest that forests with restored frequent-fire regimes are resistant to wildfire under fire weather conditions that are less than extreme.

10 of the 17 plots burned were classified with high fire severity and 7 were classified with moderate severity. No low fire severity was observed, regardless of fuel load, forest type, or topographic position. High fire severity appears to have been exacerbated by the longer time period since the previous fire (greater than 14 years) in these plots.

Areas that burn at high severity often grow back as montane chaparral rather than forest. They are likely to reburn with high severity in future fires, preventing or delaying the return of tree cover (Parks and others 2013; Thompson and Spies 2010; van Wagtendonk and others 2012). Management actions can help conifer regeneration (Collins and Roller 2013); however, the vegetation trajectory of the highseverity burn patches found in the lower elevation sites in this study is uncertain, given projections of increasing wildfire activity, particularly since lower elevations may have higher burn probability (Parks and others 2011). Longterm monitoring of these patches could provide useful insight.

Plots located at higher elevations (5,590–6,550 feet (1,700–2,000 m)) and those that had burned more recently burned predominately at low severity, despite drought conditions at the time of the Rim Fire. Results suggest that forests with restored frequent-fire regimes are resistant to wildfire under fire weather conditions that are less than extreme. To effectively influence fire behavior, agencies should coordinate fuel reduction and wildfire policies across large landscapes if neighboring jurisdictions are within the same potential "fireshed."

References

- Collins, B.M.; Everett, R.G.; Stephens, S.L. 2011. Impacts of fire exclusion and recent managed fire on forest structure in old growth Sierra Nevada mixedconifer forests. Ecosphere. 2(4): art51.
- Collins, B.M.; Miller, J.D.; Thode, A.E. [and others]. 2009. Interactions among wildland fires in a long-established Sierra Nevada natural fire area. Ecosystems. 12(1): 114–128.
- Collins, B.M.; Roller, G.B. 2013. Early forest dynamics in stand-replacing fire patches in the northern Sierra Nevada, California, USA. Landscape Ecology: 1–13.
- Collins, B.M.; Stephens, S.L. 2007. Managing natural wildfires in Sierra Nevada wilderness areas. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. 5(10): 523–527.
- Finney, M.A.; Bartlette, R.; Bradshaw, L.; [and others]. 2003. Fire behavior, fuel treatments, and fire suppression on the Hayman Fire. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS– GTR–114. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 33–180.
- Fowler, C.T. 2003. Human health impacts of forest fires in the southern United States: A literature review. Journal of Ecological Anthropology. 7(1): 39–63.
- Holden, Z.; Morgan, P.; Hudak, A. 2010. Burn severity of areas reburned by wildfires in the Gila National Forest, New Mexico, USA. Fire Ecology 6(3): 77–85.
- Larson, A.J.; Churchill, D. 2012. Tree spatial patterns in fire-frequent forests of western North America, including mechanisms of pattern formation and implications for designing fuel reduction

and restoration treatments. Forest Ecology and Management. 267: 74–92.

- Liu, Y.; Goodrick, S.; Heilman, W. 2014. Wildland fire emissions, carbon, and climate: Wildfire–climate interactions. Forest Ecology and Management. 317: 80–96.
- Lydersen, J.; North, M. 2012. Topographic variation in structure of mixed-conifer forests under an active-fire regime. Ecosystems. 15(7): 1134–1146.
- Lydersen, J.M.; North, M.P.; Knapp, E.E.; Collins, B.M. 2013. Quantifying spatial patterns of tree groups and gaps in mixed-conifer forests: Reference conditions and long-term changes following fire suppression and logging. Forest Ecology and Management. 304: 370–382.
- Lydersen, J.M.; North, M.P.; Collins, B.M. 2014. Severity of an uncharacteristically large wildfire, the Rim Fire, in forests with relatively restored frequent fire regimes. Forest Ecology and Management. 328: 326–334.
- Mallek, C.; Safford, H.; Viers, J.; Miller, J. 2013. Modern departures in fire severity and area vary by forest type, Sierra Nevada and southern Cascades, California, USA. Ecosphere. 4(12): art153.
- Miller, J.D.; Thode, A.E. 2007. Quantifying burn severity in a heterogeneous landscape with a relative version of the delta Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR). Remote Sensing of Environment. 109(1): 66–80.
- Miller, J.D.; Knapp, E.E.; Key, C.H.; [and others]. 2009. Calibration and validation of the relative differenced Normalized Burn Ratio (RdNBR) to three measures of fire severity in the Sierra Nevada and Klamath Mountains, California, USA. Remote Sensing of Environment. 113(3): 645–656.
- Muhle, J.; Lueker, T.J.; Su, Y. [and others]. 2007. Trace gas and particulate emissions from the 2003 southern California wildfires. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres. 112(D3): D03307.
- NIFC (National Interagency Fire Center). 2013. Suppression costs 1985–2013. Boise, ID. <www.nifc.gov/fireInfo/ fireInfo_documents/SuppCosts.pdf>, last accessed 18 March 2014.
- North, M.P. 2012. Managing Sierra Nevada forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW–GTR–237. Albany, CA: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station. 184 p.
- North, M.P.; Stine, P.; O'Hara, K.L.; Stephens, S. 2010. Harnessing fire for wildlife. Wildlife Professional. 4(1): 30–33.

- Parks, S.A.; Miller, C.; Nelson, C.R.; Holden, Z.A. 2013. Previous fires moderate burn severity of subsequent wildland fires in two large western US wilderness areas. Ecosystems. 17(1): 29–42.
- Parks, S.A.; Parisien, M.-A.; Miller, C. 2011. Multi-scale evaluation of the environmental controls on burn probability in a southern Sierra Nevada landscape. International Journal of Wildland Fire. 20(7): 815–828.
- Parsons, D.J.; Debenedetti, S.H. 1979. Impact of fire suppression on a mixedconifer forest. Forest Ecology and Management. 2(1): 21–33.
- Rothermel, R.C. 1991. Predicting behavior and size of crown fires in the Northern Rocky Mountains. Res. Pap. INT–438. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 46 p.
- Scholl, A.E.; Taylor, A.H. 2010. Fire regimes, forest change, and selforganization in an old-growth mixedconifer forest, Yosemite National Park, USA. Ecological Applications. 20(2): 362–380.

- Show, S.B.; Kotok, E.I. 1924. The role of fire in the California pine forests. Washington, DC: USDA, Government Printing Office. 80 p.
- Skinner, C.N.; Taylor, A.H., 2006. Southern cascades bioregion. In: Sugihara, N.G.; van Wagtendonk, J.W.; Fites-Kaufman, J. [and others], eds. Fire in California's ecosystems. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press: 195–224.
- Stephens, S.L.; Fule, P.Z. 2005. Western pine forests with continuing frequent fire regimes: Possible reference sites for management. Journal of Forestry. 103(7): 357–362.
- Stephens, S.L.; Ruth, L.W. 2005. Federal forest-fire policy in the United States. Ecological Applications. 15(2): 532–542.
- Stephens, S.L.; Fry, D.L.; Franco-Vizcaino, E. 2008. Wildfire and spatial patterns in forests in northwestern Mexico: The United States wishes it had similar fire problems. Ecology and Society. 13(2): 1–12.
- Thompson, J.R.; Spies, T.A. 2010. Factors associated with crown damage following recurring mixed-severity wildfires and

post-fire management in southwestern Oregon. Landscape Ecology. 25(5): 775–789.

- van Wagtendonk, J.W. 2007. The history and evolution of wildland fire use. Fire Ecology. 3(2): 3–17.
- van Wagtendonk, J.W.; van Wagtendonk, K.A.; Thode, A.E. 2012. Factors associated with the severity of intersecting fires in Yosemite National Park, California, USA. The Journal of the Association for Fire Ecology. 8(1): 11–31.
- Webster, K.M.; Halpern, C.B. 2010. Long-term vegetation responses to reintroduction and repeated use of fire in mixed-conifer forests of the Sierra Nevada. Ecosphere. 1(5): art9.
- Werth, P.A.; Potter, B.E.; Clements,
 C.B. [and others]. 2011. Synthesis of knowledge of extreme fire behavior:
 Volume I for fire managers. Gen. Tech.
 Rep. PNW–GTR–854. Portland, OR:
 USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 144 p.

SUCCESS STORIES WANTED!

We'd like to know how your work has been going! Let us share your success stories from your State fire program or your individual fire department. Let us know how your State Fire Assistance, Volunteer Fire Assistance, Federal Excess Personal Property, or Firefighter Property program has benefited your community. Make your piece as short as 100 words or longer than 2,000 words, whatever it takes to tell your story!

Submit your stories and photographs by email or traditional mail to:

USDA Forest Service Fire Management Today 201 14th Street, SW Washington, DC 20250 Email: firemanagementtoday@fs.fed.us

If you have questions about your submission, you can contact our FMT staff at the email address above.